

2012 Committee
~ Meeting Summary ~
February 24, 2011

Members Present: K. Alfrey, S. Baker, T. Banta, B. Bringle, M. Fisher, D. Galli, M. Hansen, S. Kahn, K. Marrs, R. Porter, S. Queener, J. Smith, T. Tarr, P. Varma-Nelson, R. Ward, D. Winikates (by telephone from IUPUC).

1. **Faculty evaluations** of student learning **related to PULs** appear to be going well in the schools represented at our meeting, which included Education, Engineering and Technology, Science, University College, and Liberal Arts.
2. **Student learning outcomes** currently are being developed in most undergraduate programs. In the School of Science a jamboree is planned to celebrate completion of the SLOs for 30 graduate and undergraduate programs. Unfortunately, Dean Brater did not distribute information about the need to do the student learning outcomes to graduate program directors in the School of Medicine. S. Queener is attempting to make up for that by working with Simon Atkinson to contact the program directors about the need to meet the March 31 deadline for placing the SLOs in the *Bulletin*. Quite a few programs already have sent their SLOs to the Registrar for placement in the section of the *Bulletin* where the SLOs will reside.
3. K. Marrs reported that members of the Faculty Council Academic Affairs Committee have discussed how **faculty engagement in teaching and assessing student learning of the PULs** might be increased. A report on recommendations emerging from this conversation will be sent from Academic Affairs to the Faculty Council Executive Committee early next week.
4. The first **newsletter** on the 2012 reaccreditation process has been distributed. The title of the publication is *IUPUI: A Tradition of Innovation*. An issue per month will appear through the end of this academic year.
5. T. Tarr reported that a **workshop** on writing and assessing student learning outcomes will be presented on March 3. Currently a dozen faculty have registered for this event.
6. **Criterion Team reports** indicated that all groups are immersed in reviewing documents and beginning to outline their final reports. Most groups are using SharePoint to archive resource documents and member comments. M. Fisher reminded everyone that the questions Criterion Teams should be addressing include the following: Where have we been? Is our evidence of progress and attainment good enough? Where do we need to go?
7. We need a title, or **theme, for the 2012 self study**. The newsletter has been called "Tradition of Innovation," but Criterion Teams are urged to send additional suggestions. Choosing a theme now will help to organize the content and the focus of the self study.