IUPUI Budgetary Affair Committee Minutes

Tuesday, November 16, 2010 University Library 1126

Attendees: Applegate, R., Fisher, T., Gregory, R., Kinman, J.M., Koskie, S., McGuire, L.E., Morran, K., Palara. Pitt, H.A., Morran, K., Smith, R., Randall, S., Rhodes, D., Weaver, M., Wills, K.V., Wokeck, M.

Absent: Brookins, R., Payton, S., Kaleth, A., Winship, A., Gregory, R., Towfighi, S., Hickey, R., Broeker, C.

Minutes: Approval of Minutes of October 5 with the correction of the word "Kelly" to "Kelley".

- 1. Appropriation and assessment
 - a. There will be a formula for assessment and appropriation for addressing initiatives.
 - b. Need equitable distribution of resources
 - c. Plan may take 1-10 years to implement
- 2. State interest
 - a. Republican changes in legislature may affect expectations of education outcomes
 - b. Impact on graduation rates
 - c. Impact on retention rates
- 3. IUPUI assessments are lower than our campus's appropriations; we are not upside down, except for medical school
- 4. Cost Benchmarking Study—reviewed by Rhodes
- a. Accenture and Hackett will be external assessors, benchmarking human resources, payroll, and other functions.
 - b. review does not include UITS.
- 4. More discussion of budgetary priorities
 - a. need more research outside of medicine
 - b. provide more research experience to students
 - c. Wokeck suggests greater gains by increasing teaching rather than research funds
- 5. Budget Incentives
 - a. Jan. 18 next BAC meeting
 - b., Saturday Jan 22 and Feb 12, budget hearings with deans
 - c. develop questions for T. Banta
 - i. what are top priorities and how are they funded
 - ii. what (old) are we willing to give up to fund (new) programs
 - iii. what is plan for dealing with assessments
 - iv. what are good incentives for your school

Respectfully submitted, Katherine V. Wills Columbus Campus